

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/661,016	Applicant(s) GROEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Vineeta S. Panwalkar	Art Unit 2611	

All Participants:

(1) Vineeta S. Panwalkar.

(2) Michael Hardaway (Reg No. 52,992).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2008

Time: 5:30pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19, 23, 25 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative, Mr. Hardaway, agreed to an examiner's amendment to claims 19, 23, 25 and 35 in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)